G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1894-5
19 .
(Inam)

File No. 40

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Appeal Petition of Hariappa of Harihar urging his claim ~~of~~ for the produce of certain Inam lands attached to the Abishekha Service in the Hariharesvara swamy temple at Harihar.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	Mis 329-32-1-4.

Memo.

9/10/1/94.

Appeal petn. no. 2488
of 14/2/94 from one
Hanappa of Hahibar
against the Dy Com'r
Order rejecting his
application for the
produce of certain
Inam lands for the
period during which
he performed the
Abhishekha Services
in the Har-haresvara
-Swami Temple
owing to the absence
of the permanent
incumbent Archaka
Bhatta.

Chattedvog. DC's
Docket no. 1/41-
5-3
D/2 Subs the recds
relating to the abov

Dfdant we be addressed reg the produce of the Inam lands attached thereto the service Pmt no such thing appears to have been done by the Amildar There the matter ended

అమరావతియంశ
1 ఉరిహరస్వామిదగ్గిరయిరువయిమి
ను. నంశెరు. బిఁబివంశనమూదుయా
ల్లా. లశ్కార. లోఁకశశ్కేంద్రంలు
11—ం—ఉ ం—11—ఉ
2. ఉరిహరస్వామిదగ్గిరయిరువయిమి
ను. నంశెరు. బిఁబివంశనమూదిల్లా-లశ్
ర. 18—ం—ఉ. శ్కే. భూంది. 6—ం—ఉ లోశ
శ్కేంద్రంలు. ం—2—
యింశలినమూంది శుశ్కే.

In 1889, on the petn of Timga Bhatta the Dfdant appears to have passed an order directing that one Rama-Chendruppa a minor brother sho be registered for the Baravard. of the Allu Sheka Service in the

No 543 of 73/9 — 1

Correspondence on this point not submitted. Docket DSL put up accordingly

room of Sringa Bhatta
And, that during
his minority one
Kushtappa Another
brother of Sringa Bhatta
shd act ~~for him~~
in the Office -
Accordingly Havig ~~he~~
was directed to
hand over charge
of his acting Office
(Abhisheka Service)
to Kushappa - But
~~their~~ Hemi appa
declined to do
until he was
paid the produce
of the Inam land
~~of~~ attached to
~~the~~ Abhisheka
Service realized

during the period
he acted in the office -
The amildar
after some enquiry
into the question
Settled ~~that he~~
Hanumappa should be
paid Rs 12 -
Calculating ~~the~~
~~net~~ fixed the
net produce of
the land at Rs 4
per annum
And settled ~~that~~
Kunnappa should
pay Rs 12 to
Hanumappa and
then take charge
of the office -
But Hanumappa

Still declined to
act up to the
Arrangement I
~~And~~ Thereupon
the Annildar
himself in person had the Charge
of the Office delivered
to Kuntappa

17/8/91.
2 years after the
date of DC's order

Hanumappa then
appealed to the Dy
Comr. who after
some correspon-
dence passed
an order declining
to allow the pet's
claim to the produce
of the Inam lands
on the ground that
he ~~the pet~~ had not

Heard the Appeal

The whole affair has arisen by the Auckland ~~act by~~ ~~out of the Report of the Auckland Bd.~~ in writing to the Bd. Land. on the subject of the Swan lands as he had promised to do in the order issued appointing the Petr. to act for Sir Geo. Wrottesley in Sept. 1887.

Vide Dy Auckland's report dy 21 d. 9/9/93

Call for it for Mr. on the 7th M.S. pants (1).

No. 1532

OFFICE OF THE 6344

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE, C H

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

The accompanying Petition No 2488
dated 17th February 96 received in the
Dewan's Office from Harcappa, Archak,
Sriharihareswara temple,
Harihar, appealing against
the order of the Deputy Com-
missioner rejecting the peti-
tioner's application for the
~~produce of certain~~ Inam lands
~~to be awarded, together with its~~
for the period during which
~~enclosures, to the~~ he acted for one Senga Bhat
is transferred together with
its 3 enclosures, to the
Inamdaraye Secretary.

By Order

for Chief Secy

BANGALORE, 10th
March 1896 AC

copy
AE

copy
M

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—17-11-93—3000

M. No. 1092

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 634/2488

dated 17th Feb. '94 received at the

Dewan's Office from Hariappa Archak

Harihareswara Swamy temple

at Harihar

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner

Chitaldrug District for

submission of the records

connected with the case.

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary.

BANGALORE,
21/4/1894

2-3-94

(General Serial No. 31.)

189 3

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzarai Department.

Dated 2nd May 1894.

Despatched

Received 7. 5. 94

Enclosures No. 1 with a file of Ver: papers.

General No. 741-
No. 53-

His official Memorandum
No: 1092 dated the 21st ultimo

— " —

Has the honor to submit herewith the vernacular correspondence ending with Harihar Deputy Amildar's report No: 456 dated 22nd January 1894 as called for in the above

The appeal petition received with the memorandum under reply is herewith returned.

Sheenhamadeen
for Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog

— " —

Collr

To the same No 1126/40-94 dy 11/6/95

MUZARAI.

From

The Secretary to the Govt
~~Dewan~~ *of Mysore.*

To

The Dy Comr
Chittledrug Dt

Dated 11th

Despatched 12th June 1895

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file V.P.

General No. 1126
Muzarai F. No. 40-94

His no 741/53 dy 2nd May 1894

Submitting the records connected with the claim advanced by one Hanumappa of Hanihan for the produce of certain Inam lands attached to the Abhisheka Service in the Hanhareswara-swami Temple

Adverting

M.G.P.B—

Sh Hanumappa had performed the Abhisheka Services in the Temple. The ~~Dy~~ ~~Comr.~~ is requested Records on the point are not clear. The Dy Comr. is therefore now requested to examine the two panchayatdars Achappa Naik & Basappa Naik and ascertain from them if such an agreement was arrived at at the time, and if the pet Hanumappa agreed to the same.

2. What will be the net profits accruing from the Inam lands attached to the Abhisheka Service after meeting the all out demands thereon.

2. The Ver. records are herewith returned for reference & re-submission

By order
A Secy

From the same No 417/3 dy 16

to the above, has the honor
to request that the
D/Asst. will hold a further
enquiry on the following points
and report the result
thereof with his own
opinion ~~on the~~
~~merits of the case~~ —

(1) In the respondent
Krishnappa's petition
to the Huzur in D/Amildar
D/2nd Sept. 1893, it is
stated that the former Taluk Amildar
B. Srinivasa Rau, with
the aid of the Temple
Panchayatdars, Achappa
Naik & Bojanga Naik,
had, on the 7th Aug 1891,
arranged
decided that Krishnappa
shd ~~the~~ pay the pet.
Hanumappa a sum of
Rs 12 on account
of the produce of the
Inam lands enjoyed
for the 3 years during

Gen. 31.]



From The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog District

To

The Secretary to the Govt: ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore. Muzarai Department

Dated 16th July 1895.

Despatched 17th

Received 17

General No. 47

No. 3.

Enclosure No. 1 file of vernacular papers.

With reference to his docket No. 1126/40-94 dated 11th June 1895, has the honor to re-submit the vernacular records returned with the above with Achappa Naik's written statement taken before the Deputy Amildar, and to state that the annual income of the Inam land attached to Abhishéka Service in the Hariharéswara Temple is said to be Rs: 10/- of this Rs: 6-2-4 goes towards Government Jodi &c. and the remaining Rs: 3-14-4 is the net profit accruing there from.

Vide Kan no

2. Achappa Naik states that it was settled at the time when B. Sreenivas a Row was the Amildar of the Devanagere Taluk, that Krishnappa the respondent should pay 12 Rs: being the approximate amount of annual income for 3 years of the said Inam land to Haviyappa, the Krishnappa accordingly brought Rs: 12/- and placed the same before the, ~~deity~~ deity in the Temple, when the petitioner refused to take the same.

3. One Somappa holder of the Inam land also deposed to the fact that he annually pays to Krishnappa Rs: 10/- being the income of the land.

4 Bhimjinga Naik is said to have gone to Nagar on his private business and cannot be examined. The undersigned has no hesitation in accepting as true the fact stated by Achappa Naik.

Asst. Commissioner

Offg. Deputy Commissioner.

bs